

### Gavin Newsom - Governor

915 L Street 
Sacramento CA 
95814-3706 
www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

March 25, 2021

Aaron Beanan, Director of Finance City of Poway 13325 Civic Center Drive Poway, CA 92064

## 2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Poway Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 29, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$9,605. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$400,250 for fiscal year 2021-22.
  - Although \$409,855 is claimed for ACA, only \$400,250 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$9,605 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) Calculation		
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2020-21	\$ 14,131,674	
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	(401,951)	
Less sponsoring entity loan repayments	(388,058)	
RPTTF distributed for 2020-21 after adjustments	\$13,341,665	
ACA Cap for 2021-22 per HSC section 34171 (b)	\$400,250	
ACA requested for 2021-22	\$409,855	
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$9,605	

In addition, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind down the Agency.

- On the ROPS 21-22 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$168,945 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 21-22. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
  - Item No. 291 2015 Refunding Bonds Series A in the amount of \$13,661,500 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$13,472,555 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$168,945, in addition to \$20,000 in Other Funds already requested by the Agency, totaling\$13,661,500.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 18-19 period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$14,120,933, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet\_And\_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Aaron Beanan March 25, 2021 Page 3

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Joshua Mortimer, Supervisor, or Hadiqa Munir, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Stacey Tang, Finance Manager, City of Poway Jon Baker, Senior Auditor and Controller Manager, San Diego County

# Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022					
		ROPS A	ROPS B		Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	6,825,320 \$	7,219,698	\$	14,045,018
Administrative RPTTF Requested		204,928	204,927		409,855
Total RPTTF Requested		7,030,248	7,424,625		14,454,873
RPTTF Requested		6,825,320	7,219,698		14,045,018
Adjustment(s)					
Item No. 291		(168,945)	0		(168,945)
RPTTF Authorized		6,656,375	7,219,698		13,876,073
Administrative RPTTF Requested		204,928	204,927		409,855
Excess Administrative Costs		0	(9,605)		(9,605)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		204,928	195,322		400,250
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)		(155,390)	0		(155,390)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	6,705,913 \$	7,415,020	\$	14,120,933