

915 L Street ■ Sacramento CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

April 13, 2021

Harjinder Singh, Deputy to the City Manager City of Inglewood One Manchester Boulevard, 9th Floor Inglewood, CA 90301

## 2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Inglewood Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 27, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 136 2017 Parity Bonds debt service. The Agency requested \$3,898,515 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) and \$1,156,955 from Reserves, totaling \$5,055,470, in error. According to the debt service schedule provided by the Agency, the amount requested for the ROPS 21-22 period should be \$3,495,470. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made an adjustment of \$1,293,045 to decrease the total requested RPTTF funding of \$3,898,515 to \$2,605,470 and made an adjustment of \$266,955 to decrease the total requested Reserves of \$1,156,955 to \$890,000.
- Item No. 139 Reserves for Series 2017 Parity Bond. The Agency requested \$1,156,955 from RPTTF in error. According to the debt service schedule provided by the Agency, the amount requested for the July through December period should be \$890,000. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made an adjustment of \$266,955 to decrease the total requested amount of \$1,156,955 to \$890,000.
- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$72,032. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$360,612 for fiscal year 2021-22. Although \$432,644 is claimed for ACA, only \$360,612 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$72,032 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) Calculation	
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2020-21	\$12,493,610
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	(473,218)
RPTTF distributed for 2020-21 after adjustments	\$12,020,392
ACA Cap for 2021-22 per HSC section 34171 (b)	\$360,612
Total ACA requested for 2021-22	\$432,644
ACA in Excess of the Cap	(\$72,032)

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$12,742,022, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet\_And\_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

Harjinder Singh April 13, 2021 Page 3

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Thong Thao, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Margarita Cruz, Successor Agency Manager, City of Inglewood Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

## Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022						
	ROPS A		ROPS B	Total	Total	
RPTTF Requested	\$	7,913,823 \$	7,913,823	\$ 15,827,	,646	
Administrative RPTTF Requested		216,322	216,322	432,	,644	
Total RPTTF Requested		8,130,145	8,130,145	16,260,	.290	
RPTTF Requested		7,913,823	7,913,823	15,827,	646	
Adjustment(s)						
Item No. 136		(513,045)	(780,000)	(1,293,0	045)	
Item No. 139		(266,955)	0	(266,9	955)	
		(780,000)	(780,000)	(1,560,0	000)	
RPTTF Authorized		7,133,823	7,133,823	14,267,	.646	
Administrative RPTTF Requested		216,322	216,322	432,	.644	
Excess Administrative Costs		0	(72,032)	(72,0	032)	
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		216,322	144,290	360,	612	
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)		(1,886,236)	0	(1,886,2	236)	
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	5,463,909 \$	7,278,113	\$ 12,742,	.022	