

915 L Street ■ Sacramento CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

April 8, 2021

Vilko Domic, Assistant City Manager City of Commerce 2535 Commerce Way Commerce, CA 90040

## 2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Commerce Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 25, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 8 Fiscal Agent Fees in the amount of \$14,000 is partially allowed. Based on a review of the fiscal agent invoices provided by the Agency, a total of \$7,000 is required for the ROPS 21-22 period. Therefore, of the \$14,000 requested, \$7,000 is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.
- Item No. 52 Costco Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) in the amount of \$225,000 is partially allowed. Based on a review of the obligation repayment schedule provided by the Agency, a total of \$127,000 is required for the ROPS 21-22 period. Therefore, of the \$225,000 requested, \$98,000 is not eligible for RPTTF funding.
- Item No. 67 Citadel DDA in the amount of \$300,000 is partially allowed. Based on a review of the estimates and past invoices provided by the Agency, a total of \$160,000 is required for the ROPS 21-22 period. Therefore, of the \$300,000 requested, \$140,000 is not eligible for RPTTF funding.
- Item No. 75 Testing for Toxic Substances in the amount of \$1,270,000 is partially allowed. Based on a review of estimates and past invoices provided by the Agency, a total of \$1,113,000 is required for the ROPS 21-22 period. Therefore, of the \$1,270,000 requested, \$157,000 is not eligible for RPTTF funding.

- On the ROPS 21-22 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$3,341,447 in Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 21-22. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
  - Item No. 77 2016 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$3,461,063 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$119,616 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$3,341,447, totaling \$3,461,063.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 18-19 period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$9,251,974, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

Vilko Domic April 8, 2021 Page 3

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Nicole Prisakar, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Josh Brooks, Acting Director of Finance, City of Commerce Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

## Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022						
	ROPS A		ROPS B		Total	
RPTTF Requested	\$	8,084,094	\$	5,288,795	\$	13,372,889
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000		125,000		250,000
Total RPTTF Requested		8,209,094		5,413,795		13,622,889
RPTTF Requested		8,084,094		5,288,795		13,372,889
Adjustment(s)						
Item No. 8		(3,500)		(3,500)		(7,000)
Item No. 52		0		(98,000)		(98,000)
Item No. 67		(70,000)		(70,000)		(140,000)
Item No. 75		0		(157,000)		(157,000)
Item No. 77		(2,930,344)		(411,103)		(3,341,447)
		(3,003,844)		(739,603)		(3,743,447)
RPTTF Authorized		5,080,250		4,549,192		9,629,442
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		125,000		125,000		250,000
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)		(627,468)		0		(627,468)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	4,577,782	\$	4,674,192	\$	9,251,974