

Gavin Newsom - Governor

915 L Street
Sacramento CA
95814-3706
www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

March 30, 2021

Nicole Valentine, Accounting Manager City of Arroyo Grande 300 East Branch Street Arroyo Grande, CA 93420

2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Arroyo Grande Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 29, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance approves all of the items listed on the ROPS 21-22 at this time.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$340,233, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

This is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

Nicole Valentine March 30, 2021 Page 2

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Joshua Mortimer, Supervisor, or Veronica Zalvidea, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Michael Stevens, Director of Administrative Services, City of Arroyo Grande Aaronne Stoddard, Property Tax Manager, San Luis Obispo County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022				
	ļ	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	193,104 \$	5 196,840	\$ 389,944
Administrative RPTTF Requested		15,000	15,000	30,000
Total RPTTF Requested		208,104	211,840	419,944
RPTTF Authorized		193,104	196,840	389,944
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		15,000	15,000	30,000
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)		(79,711)	0	(79,711)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	128,393 \$	5 211,840	\$ 340,233