



915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

Transmitted via e-mail

March 27, 2020

Geoffrey C. Buchheim, Finance Director City of Desert Hot Springs 65950 Pierson Boulevard Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

2020-21 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Desert Hot Springs Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 22, 2020. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 20-21.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 17 Supplemental Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (SERAF) Loan Repayment in the total outstanding amount of \$3,442,921 is overstated. The Agency should update the outstanding loan balance to reflect amounts the Agency previously received to make loan repayments as approved on the ROPS. After applying the repayments in the amount of \$380,158, the recalculated total outstanding loan balance is \$3,062,763. As such, Finance has reduced the outstanding loan balance on the Agency's ROPS Detail Form by \$380,158. Additionally, we are approving loan repayments in the amount of \$726,636 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funds for this current ROPS period; therefore, the outstanding loan balance on the subsequent ROPS Detail Form should be updated accordingly.
- Item No. 39 2017 Tax Allocation Refunding Bond debt service. The Agency requested \$411,856 from RPTTF in error. According to the debt service schedule, the amount requested for the January 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 20-21B) period should be \$397,056. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made an adjustment in the amount of \$14,800 to decrease the total requested amount of \$411,856 to \$397,056.
- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Geoffrey C. Buchheim March 27, 2020 Page 2

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 (ROPS 17-18) period. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. Finance's review of the prior period adjustment (PPA) resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency resulted in no PPA. Specifically, Finance reduced the PPA from \$1,593,350 to zero to reflect reserve amounts expended as debt service payments for Item Nos. 3, 4, and 6 in the total amount of \$1,411,842 and also to reflect the amount expended for Item No. 32 in the amount of \$181,508.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$4,664,848, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 20-21 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 20-21. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 20-21, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 20-21. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deemed denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 20-21 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 20-21 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

Geoffrey C. Buchheim March 27, 2020 Page 3

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Matthew Rios, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Charles Maynard, City Manager, City of Desert Hot Springs Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2020 through June 2021					
		ROPS A		ROPS B	ROPS 20-21 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	2,588,824	\$	1,840,824	\$ 4,429,648
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000		125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested		2,713,824		1,965,824	4,679,648
RPTTF Requested		2,588,824		1,840,824	4,429,648
<u>Adjustment</u>					
Item No. 39		0		(14,800)	(14,800)
RPTTF Authorized		2,588,824		1,826,024	4,414,848
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		125,000		125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	2,713,824	\$	1,951,024	\$ 4,664,848