Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - Summary Filed for the July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 Period

Successor Agency: La Mesa

County: San Diego

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	20-21A (July Decem	' -	(Ja	21B Total Inuary - June)	RC	PS 20-21 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
B Bond Proceeds		-		-		-
C Reserve Balance		-		-		-
D Other Funds		-		-		-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G)	\$ 1,30	8,494	\$	133,671	\$	1,442,165
F RPTTF	1,23	8,429		63,606		1,302,035
G Administrative RPTTF	7	0,065		70,065		140,130
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E)	\$ 1,30	8,494	\$	133,671	\$	1,442,165

Name

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

/s/	
Signature	Date

Title

La Mesa Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - ROPS Detail July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	н	ı	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	w
													ROPS 2	0-21A (Jul - Dec)			F	ROPS 20-	21B (Ja			
lt	em	Project Name	Obligation	Agreement	Agreement Termination	Payee	Description	Project	Total Outstanding	Retired	ROPS 20-21		Fu	nd Sou	rces		20-21A			d Sourc			20-21B
	#	r rojoot ramo	Туре	Date	Date	, ayoo	Becompacin	Area	Obligation	rtotirod	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance		RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	Total
									\$9,663,077		\$1,442,165		\$-			\$70,065	\$1,308,494					\$70,065	\$133,671
		AD98-1 Limited Obligation Bonds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	06/24/ 1998	09/30/2023			Alvarado Creek	2,025,337	N	\$507,144	-	-	-	467,038	-	\$467,038	-	-		40,106	-	\$40,106
			06/28/11),	11/25/ 2008	06/30/2021	Mesa	Police Station Land Purchase -Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34191.4(b); OB Resolution No. OB 2017-04 finding loan was made for legitimate redevelopment purposes and approving repayment		5,300,000	N	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
		AD98-1 Bond Fiscal Agent Fees	Fees	06/24/ 1998	09/30/2023		required by bond issuance- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(A) and 34171(d)(1)(E)	Alvarado Creek			\$2,900		-	-	-	-	\$-	-	-	-	2,900	-	\$2,900
	12	AD98-1 Annual	Fees	06/24/	09/30/2023	Stradling,	Annual	Alvarado	3,910	N	\$600	-	-	-	-	_	\$-	-	-	-	600	-	\$600

Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	V	w
												ROPS 2	0-21A (J	Jul - Dec)								
Item	Project Name	Obligation		Agreement Termination		Description	Project	Total Outstanding	Patirad	ROPS 20-21		Fu	nd Sour	ces		20-21A			d Sourc			20-21B
#	r Toject Name	Туре	Date	Date	rayee	Description	Area	Obligation	Retired	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance		RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	Total
	Disclosure Fees		1998		Yocca, Carlson	Disclosure Fees as required by bond issuance- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(A) and 34171(d)(1)(E)	Creek															
15	Administrative Cost Allowance		07/01/ 2020	06/30/2021	Various	Administrative Cost Allowance under AB1X26 - See Oversight Board Resolution approving the Administrative Budget and Administrative Cost Allowance	n/a	140,130	N	\$140,130	-	-	-	-	70,065	\$70,065	-	-	-	-	70,065	\$70,065
17	Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition Lawsuit		07/30/ 2013	06/30/2021	Ballmer & Berkman/	Legal Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition's lawsuit- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F)	Central/ Fletcher/ Alvarado Creek		N	\$40,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000
20	Deferred Housing Set- Aside	Miscellaneous	06/30/ 1994	06/30/2037	Housing Successor Agency Asset Fund (Low/Mod Income Hsng	Deferred Set Aside amounts owed to Central Project Area to be paid from RPTTF -		2,134,965	N	\$748,391	-	-	-	748,391	-	\$748,391	-	-	-	-	-	\$-

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	٧	W
				Agreement	Agreement				Total		ROPS			•	Jul - Dec)			ı	ROPS 20-	•			
lte	em	Project Name	Obligation		Termination		Description	Project	Outstanding	Retired	l		Fu	nd Sou	rces		20-21A			d Sourc			20-21B
	#	·	Туре	Date	Date		·	Area	Obligation		Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance			Admin RPTTF	Total	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	Total
2	/		Professional Services	05/23/ 2016		Anderson, Malody & Scott	Auditing Services- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(d)(1)(C), 34177(n) and 34171(d)(1)(F)			N	\$3,000		-	-	3,000	-	\$3,000		-	-	1	1	\$-

La Mesa Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - Report of Cash Balances July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018

(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
	ROPS 17-18 Cash Balances			Fund Sources	Comments		
	(07/01/17 - 06/30/18)	Bond P	roceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	
		Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/17) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount.	522,450		484,800			C1: Amount held by Fiscal Agent per bond covenant E1: ROPS 18-19 PPA \$339,497 per DOF 3/20/18 Determination letter PLUS ROPS 19-20 PPA \$145,303 per DOF 3/20/19 Determination letter
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/18) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 17-18 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller					895,836	G2: ROPS 17-18 A/B per DOF 3/13/17 Determination letter
3	Expenditures for ROPS 17-18 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/18)						G3: Actual expenditures per FY17-18 audit trail as reported to CAC
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/18) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)	522,450		484,800			C4: Amount held in reserve by fiscal agent per bond covenant E4: Amounts used to reduce the ROPS 18-19A RPTTF Distribution (\$339,497) and to reduce the ROPS 19-20A RPTTF Distribution (\$145,303)

5	ROPS 17-18 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 17-18 PPA form submitted to the CAC			No entry required			G5: Amount reported as the PPA for the 17-18 ROPS to the CAC via the DOF RAD-App on 9/30/19
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/18) C to F = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	

La Mesa Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 20-21) - Notes July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021

Item #	Notes/Comments
3	
5	On Dec. 7, 2017, the OB for the Successor Agency (SA) approved OB Resolution OB 2017-04 that found, pursuant to HSC Sec. 34191.4(b)(1) of the Dissolution Law, the loan provided by the City to the former RDA was made for legitimate redevelopment purposes; approved the loan as an enforceable obligation of the SA and approved the repayment of the remaining principal balance of the Purchase Price totaling \$6,000,000.00 from RPTTF as an enforceable obligation to be listed on successive ROPS; and authorized and directed the Executive Director, or designee, of the SA to include the repayment of the remaining principal balance of the Purchase Price totaling \$6,000,000.00 on successive ROPS until the loan is repaid in full. On Jan. 23, 2018, the DOF issued its Determination Letter, finding this loan to be partially approved, for an outstanding principal balance of \$5,300,000.00. Once the Deferrals listed in Item 20 on the ROPS are repaid, the SA will seek repayment of this Item 5 on the ROPS.
11	
12	
15	
17	Funds required for defense of the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County v. City of La Mesa as Successor Agency to La Mesa Community Redevelopment Agency et al. Litigation costs due to the filing of a lawsuit by the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County against several successors agencies alleging that unmet obligations of the Former RDA pursuant to the California Community Redevelopment Law constitute an enforceable obligation of the Successor Agency payable from RPTTF. Costs relating to potential and pending litigation in connection with assets or obligations constitute an enforceable obligation of the Successor Agency and shall be payable from RPTTF monies, not as an administrative cost, pursuant to HSC Section 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F) of the Dissolution Law.
20	On Dec 7, 2017, the OB for the SA approved OB 2017-03 that approved, pursuant to HSC Sections 34171(d)(1)(G) and 34176(e)(6) of the Dissolution Law, the pmt of LMIHF Deferrals totaling \$3,148,381.00 as an enforceable obligation and authorized the inclusion of the Deferrals on the SA ROPS, beginning with ROPS1819. The OB approved the Repayment Schedule for the first pmt of Deferrals as requested on the ROPS1819. On Jan. 23, 2018, the DOF issued its Determination Letter approving the repayment schedule for the Deferrals. DOF further approved that the Successor Agency may place requests for repayment on the upcoming and future ROPS until the obligation is retired pursuant to H&S Code Sections 34176(e)(6) and 34191.4(b). For ROPS 2021, the SA estimates an RPTTF pmt amount of \$748,391, calculated per the Dissolution Law as 50% increase in the residual RPTTF amounts paid to the ATE in FY1213 of \$2,083,973 and the residual RPTTF estimated to be paid to the ATE in FY 1920 of \$3,580,755
21	P
21	